

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,196	10/30/2003	Michael Harville	200313240-1	3553
22879 HEWLETT-PA	7590 08/05/2009 ACKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Administration 3404 E. Harmony Road Mail Stop 35			BURGESS, BARBARA N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLLINS, CO 80528			2457	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/05/2009	ELECTRONIC .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM ipa.mail@hp.com jessica.l.fusek@hp.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/698,196 HARVILLE ET AL. Office Action Summary

Office Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	BARBARA N. BURGESS	2457						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of times may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 - If NO period for reply is a specified above, the maximum statutory period to the property of th	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ap	oril 2009.							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1 and 38-76 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 38-76</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
,								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National	Stage					
application from the International Bureau								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)	5). Notice of Informal P							

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/698,196

Art Unit: 2457

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to Amendment filed April 7, 2009. Claims 1, 38-76 are presented for further examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

 Claims 1, 38-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lumelsky et al. (hereinafter "Lume", US Patent 6,529,950).

As per claim 1, Lume discloses a method for managing a streaming media service, said method comprising:

- receiving a request for a service from a client, said service comprising a service component (col. 5, lines 61-67);
- selecting a service location manager (negotiator) to which to provide said request from a plurality of service location managers (col. 6, lines 3-18); and

selecting a service provider to which to assign said service component from a
plurality of service providers of a network, wherein said selecting said service
provider is performed by said service location manager (col. 6, lines 25-33, 5059);

- informing said service provider of said assignment to perform said media service component, causing said service provider to prepare to perform said streaming media service on streaming media (col. 3, lines 60-67, col. 8, lines 26-30);
- providing said client information for locating and contacting said service provider
 to receive said streaming media from said service provider without utilizing said
 service location manager (col. 4, lines 65-67, col. 5, lines 15-27, 58-60, 65-67,
 col. 6, lines 1-2, col. 10, lines 45-50).

As per claim 38, Lume discloses wherein said selecting said service location manager comprises:

- maintaining a record comprising identifying information of a service location manager among said plurality of service location managers (col. 8, lines 22-30);
 and
- selecting said service location manager according to said record (col. 6, lines 60-66).

As per claim 39, Lume discloses wherein said selecting said service location manager comprises:

Art Unit: 2457

 maintaining a record comprising a prioritized list of at least one service location manager among said plurality of service location managers (col. 8, lines 20-30);

 selecting said service location manager according to the order of priority of said list of said record (col. 8, lines 57-67).

As per claim **40**, Lume discloses wherein said selecting said service location manager comprises:

- maintaining a record comprising identifying information for a set of service location managers among said plurality of service location managers (col. 8, lines 22-33) and
- selecting said service location manager randomly from said record (col. 8, lines 57-67).

As per claim 41, Lume discloses wherein said selecting said service location manager comprises:

- maintaining a record comprising identifying information for a set of service location managers among said plurality of service location managers (col. 9, lines 57-67); and
- selecting said service location manager in a round robin manner from said record (col. 8. lines 57-67).

Art Unit: 2457

As per claim 42, Lume discloses:

wherein said selecting said service location manager comprises a comparison of

processing loads of at least two service location managers among said plurality

of service location managers (col. 3, lines 51-61).

As per claim 43, Lume discloses:

· wherein said selecting said service location manager comprises a comparison of

available resources of a first set of service providers supervised by said service

location manager and available resources of a second set of service providers

supervised by a second service location manager (col. 4, lines 61-67,col. 4, lines

1-8).

As per claim 44, Lume discloses:

wherein said selecting said service location manager is based on an estimate of

a network communication condition between two entities connected by the

network (col. 6, lines 62-67 and col. 4, lines 1-17).

As per claim 45, Lume discloses:

wherein said estimate of said network communication condition is associated

with said client (col. 6, lines 1-10 and col. 4, lines 50-67).

As per claim 46. Lume discloses:

 wherein said estimate of said network communication condition is associated with a content source of said streaming media (column 6, lines 25-34).

As per claim 47, Lume discloses wherein said selecting said service location manager is based on one of the group consisting of:

 pending service application request queue length of a service location manager, expected latency of a service location manager for assigning said service request, and available network communication bandwidth of a service location manager (col. 8, lines 25-34).

As per claim 48, Lume discloses:

 notifying a second service location manager among said plurality of service location managers of the assignment of said service provider to perform said media service component (col. 5, lines 35-60).

As per claim 49, Lume discloses:

 notifying a second service location manager among said plurality of service location managers of the completion of performance of said media service component (col. 6, lines 45-60).

As per claim 50, Lume discloses:

 a second service location manager assuming the role of said service location manager if said service location manager is determined to be non-responsive (col. 6. lines 53-67).

As per claim 51, Lume further discloses:

- maintaining a record comprising identifying information of a set of service location managers among said plurality of service location managers, each service location manager of said set of service location managers supervising said service provider (col. 6, lines 50-67 and col. 8, lines 3-17).
- notifying said set of service location managers according to said record of said assignment of said service provider to perform said media service component (col. 8, lines 33-40).

As per claim 52, Lume discloses:

 wherein said maintaining and said notifying is performed by said service provider or said service location manager (col. 6, lines 50-67).

As per claim 53, Lume further discloses:

 maintaining a record comprising identifying information of a set of service location managers among said plurality of service location managers, each service location manager of said set of service location managers supervising said service provider (col. 8. lines 44-57).

Art Unit: 2457

notifying said set of service location managers according to said record of the

completion of performance of said media service component by said service

provider (col. 8, lines 55-67).

As per claim 54, Lume discloses:

· wherein said maintaining and said notifying is performed by said service provider

or said service location manager (col. 3, lines 11-17).

As per claim 55, Lume discloses:

wherein said service provider is supervised by more than one service location

manager among said plurality of service location managers (col. 6, lines 57-67).

As per claim **56**, Lume discloses a system for providing streaming content to a client

device, said system comprising:

a plurality of service location managers (col. 6, lines 3-18);

• a plurality of service providers (col. 6, lines 25-35),

each service provider capable of performing a service on an item of streaming

input content to produce said streaming content (col. 6, lines 50-59); and

a portal providing a first point of contact for said client device, said portal for

receiving from said client device a request for performance of said service on an

item of streaming input content, said portal for selecting a service location

manager to which to provide said request from said plurality of service location

managers, said service location manager for receiving said request from said

portal and for selecting a service provider from said plurality of service providers

and informing said service provider of said assignment to perform said service on

said streaming input content to produce said streaming content and for providing

said service provider with information to transfer communication from said portal

to said service provider for providing said streaming content to said client from

said service provider (col. 4. lines 65-67, col. 5. lines 15-27, 58-67, col. 6. lines 1-

2, 25-59, col. 8, lines 25-35, col. 10, lines 45-50).

As per claim 57, Lume discloses:

wherein said portal maintains a record comprising a prioritized listing of at least

one service location manager among said plurality of service location managers

and selects said service location manager in order of priority according to said

prioritized listing (col. 6, lines 25-40).

As per claim 58, Lume discloses:

wherein said portal maintains a record comprising identifying information of a set

of service location managers among said plurality of service location managers

and selects said service location manager in a round robin manner from said

record (col. 6, lines 20-35).

As per claim 59, Lume discloses:

 wherein said portal selects said service location manager by comparing processing loads of at least two service location managers among said plurality.

of service location managers (col. 3, lines 51-67).

As per claim 60, Lume discloses:

wherein said portal selects said service location manager by comparing available

resources of a first set of service providers supervised by said service location

manager and available resources of a second set of service providers supervised

by a second service location manager (col. 4, lines 61-67 and col. 5, lines 1-8).

As per claim 61, Lume discloses:

wherein said portal selects said service location manager based on an estimate

of a network communication condition between two entities connected by the

network (col. 8, lines 50-67).

As per claim 62, Lume discloses:

wherein said service location manager notifies a second service location

manager among said plurality of service location managers of said assignment of

said service provider to perform said service (col. 9, lines 22-40).

As per claim 63, Lume discloses:

wherein said portal determines if said service location manager of said plurality of

service location managers is non-responsive (col. 9, lines 53-67).

As per claim 64, Lume discloses:

wherein said portal activates a second service location manager of said plurality

of service location managers to assume the role of said service location

manager, provided said portal determines said service location manager to be

non-responsive (col. 6, lines 53-67).

As per claim 65, Lume discloses:

wherein said service provider is supervised by more than one service location

manager of said plurality of service location managers (col. 5, lines 65-67 and

col. 6, lines 1-8).

As per claim 66, Lume discloses:

wherein said service provider maintains a record comprising identifying

information of service location managers that supervise it (col. 6, lines 50-67).

As per claim 67, Lume discloses:

wherein said service provider notifies said service location managers that

supervise it of said assignment to perform said service (col. 8, lines 1-20).

Art Unit: 2457

As per claim 68, Lume discloses:

 wherein said service provider notifies said service location managers that supervise it of completion of performance of said service by said service provider

(col. 6, lines 22-35).

As per claim 69, Lume discloses:

wherein said service location manager maintains a record comprising identifying

information of a second service location manager that also supervises said

service provider (col. 5, lines 47-58 and col. 6, lines 3-17).

As per claim 70, Lume discloses:

• wherein said service location manager notifies said second service location

manager of said assignment of said service provider to perform said service (col.

8, lines 45-57).

As per claim 71, Lume discloses:

wherein said service location manager notifies said second service location

manager of completion of performance of said service by said service provider

(col. 8, lines 50-67).

As per claim 72, Lume discloses:

 wherein said service provider is supervised by a first service location manager, and said first service location manager transfers supervision of said service provider to a second service location manager (col. 6. lines 40-58).

As per claim 73, Lume discloses:

 wherein said transfer is based on a computational load of said first service location manager (col. 3, lines 51-61).

As per claim 74, Lume discloses:

 wherein said transfer is based on availability of resources of a service provider supervised by said second service location manager (col. 5, lines 40-58 and col. 6, lines 3-17).

As per claim 75, Lume discloses:

 wherein said service provider is selected to be supervised by said service location manager based on a network communication condition between said service location manager and said service provider (col. 3, lines 62-67 and col. 4, lines 1-17).

As per claim 76, Lume discloses:

 wherein said plurality of service location managers comprises a master service location manager that monitors the status of other service location managers of

Art Unit: 2457

said plurality of service location managers (col. 3, lines 62-67 and col. 5, lines 1-17.

Response to Arguments

The Office notes the following argument(s):

(a) Lumelsky does not disclose "providing said client information for locating and contacting said service provider to receive said streaming media from said service provider".

In response to:

(a) Applicant's argument filed has been fully considered but is not persuasive. Lume teaches sending information and locations of providers that can service the user's request to the user. The user is able to select a provider to service its media request (col. 4, lines 65-67, col. 5, lines 15-27, 58-60, 65-67, col. 6, lines 1-2, col. 10, lines 45-50).

Also admitted by Applicant and according to Applicant's Specification, Lume further teaches the connection can be transparent to the user (page 14 of current Remarks/Arguments, Specification, page 34, lines 13-15).

Therefore, Lume undoubtedly discloses "providing said client information for locating and contacting said service provider to receive said streaming media from said service provider".

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/698,196
Art Unit: 2457

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BARBARA N. BURGESS whose telephone number is (571)272-3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,196

Art Unit: 2457

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Barbara N Burgess/ Examiner, Art Unit 2457

July 25, 2009

/ARIO ETIENNE/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2457 Barbara N Burgess Examiner Art Unit 2457